Abstract

Value based food chains (VBFC) are characterized through different type of quality values, often expressed simply as supporting the small scale farmers, improving the farms socio-economic situations and recognized mostly on local or regional level. Further VBFC maximize the social and economic output values for individual chain actors with positive direct and indirect returns to the local economy. If the VBFC wish to expand growth and at the same time maintain the values, the growth has to be sustainable in an economic, social and environmental way. For measuring sustainable growth of VBFC we used three type of food chain features: i) quality differentiation, ii) volume and iii) economic performance.

In this paper the analysis of two different VBFC from Slovenian organic sector is presented. For analyzing both case studies a qualitative method for balancing between indicators of each feature was used.

The results shown how the quality differentiation, volume and economic performance of each analyzed VBFC are balanced and how/and if they are directed towards sustainable growth. The results are showing that the difference between analyzed VBFC towards sustainable growth exist and the noted obstructions are detected mostly in economic performance.
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1. Introduction

Value based food chains (VBFC) are characterized through different type of quality values recognized mostly on local or regional level as small or medium-scale food chains (according to Woods et al., [13]). Small and medium-sized local organic supply chains are facing special challenges in today’s heavily competed food markets, moving from niche to volume and at the same time securing and maintaining the quality values. This is especially true in organic food chains where the quality values have very important and central role. For the successful maintenance of values along the food chain and for retain sustainable state, the good co-operation and fair distribution of the benefits, including consumers, are crucial (Risku-Norja [9]). Our research is based on case studies approach, carried out on two successful midscale organic food chains from Slovenia. After the literature, we identified the studied food chains as Value Based Food Chain (VBFC). The terminology of VBFC is recently used in European scientific literature after 2010 (Stevenson and Pirog [11], Pirog and Bregendhal [8]). Vacas et al., [12] have further also explained positive direct and indirect economic and social effects of VBFC responsible also for increasing the local economy and community, such as higher farmer’s income, lower unemployment rate, “fair price”, and good relationships between the actors. Sustainable growth process and successful development of VBFC are linked to the balance between quality differentiation, volume and economic performance (Münchhausen [5]). Quality differentiation in food chains is commonly conceptualized as raising the minimum standards applies inter alia to environmental protection and animal welfare requirements, leading to a general raising of the quality level for food (Meyer [4]). Volume can be expressed as volume of food products, number of employees or/and turnover present in relevant currency. Economic performance of value based food chains is achieved when the benefits (in terms of financial, material and non-material benefits) belong to all actors in the same share. According Risku-Norja [9], the useful methodology for identify the balance between these values in VBFC is cross-case analyses (CC analyses). The draft for the
methodology has been taken from cross-country analyses, presented from Melo and Barenstein [3], Lee [2] and Risku-Norja [9]. CC analyses based on the integrated indicators, hanged with weights of importance. Further, it could be upgraded by multi-criteria decision methodology (MCDM) program calls DEX (in details described in Bohanec [1], Rozman et al., [10] and Pavlović et al., [6]). The program DEX and methodology DEXi is free and available on website: http://kt.ijs.si/MarkoBohanec/dexi.html, developed by Slovenian Institute of Josef Stefan. However, results of CC analyze gives clear picture if the balance between values exists or not. With results of DEXi we could further define the shortcomings and after create the instructions for improving the balance.

2. Materials and Methods

Two case studies of value-based organic food chains from Slovenia were taken for the analysis. Both are medium scale food chains, first with milk production and the second with beef meat production. They are located in mountain region. Planika dairy (further Planika) and Agricultural cooperative Šaleška Valley (with commercial brand Ekodar for organic beef products; further Ekodar) are the key actors in analyzed food chains and have major role in processing stages.

Cross case analyses of two examined VBFC (in our study named as CC analyses) is based on the integrated indicators of tree primary values (quality differentiation, volume/growth and economic performance) (Figure 1). Indicators present describing features of the values. CC analyses has been supported by multi-criteria decision methodology (MCDM) approach, and further DEX software has been used.

The input data for CC analysis to gain the values different set of indicators where used. The data for each indicator where obtained with the questionnaires on 24 farms from the mountain areas as well with actor’s interviews in different stages of food chains. Interviews were carried out between June and October 2014 and performed from qualified interviewers. The main aim of the questionnaires and interviews were ensuring precise information about formation of food chain in the past, present status and future development plans (Prišenk and Borec [7]).

Figure 2 presents assessment tree for evaluation of values balance. It structures from values (middle of the tree) - further attributes, which are structure from indicators at the second level (left side of the tree) - further sub-attributes. The relative importance of an individual attribute is presented with a weight value. Setting the weights on 33.3% defines the relationship between “Economic performance”, “Volume growth” and “Quality differentiation” because they have similar impacts on final result (balance between them) (Figure 3).

![Figure 1. Scheme of country cross-case analyses without (left) and with integrated indicators (right)](image1)

![Figure 2. The structure of assessment tree for values balance assessment](image2)

![Figure 3. Defined weights for all attributes (indicators)](image3)
For indicators, different scales where defined. The results of CC analyses gives clear direction about balance, which can be assessed as balanced, partly balanced or unbalanced. Further for all attributes scales have been defined, such as the scale options for turnover are falling, constant or raising.

3. Results and Discussion

Results show the different balance of values for analyzed case studies. Planika case study has been assessed as balanced, while the balance between values in Ekodar VBFC has been assessed as partly balanced (Figure 4). The DEXi software allows users to identify weak and strong points for all case studies, presented in Figure 5. Ekodar case study has two attributes quality differentiation and volume/growth, which are defined as weak points while the Planika has only one sub-attribute. The number of employees decreasing during the observed period between 2009 and 2014.

The comparison of results between case studies identify and describes changes in certain basic attributes by one degree upwards or downwards (if possible) that are independent of other attributes (according to Bohanec [1]) (Figure 6). It can be seen that Planika case study has more (three) threats (defined under -1) as Ekodar case study to fall the final assessment for one degree down. This allows us to advise how to react and which indicator should be further approved to reach the balance between the values.

From the observed results, only one indicator is critical and needs special attention of actors in VBFCs. This is fair share of benefits among value chain actors under “Economic performance”. Economic performance is the value, which can immediately demolish the balance and threaten the further sustainable growth of VBFCs. The results of CC analyses confirms the fear that before the losing the balance, that fair share of benefits among value chain actors is not taken into account. The business logic is “to produce and process the high-quality and healthy food products only from the milk produced in mountain regions in Slovenia” with contribution to effectiveness, economic performance and efficiency in mid-scale-values-based food chain. The only strategy and management instrument for realizing this goal is “to ensure the higher price of the milk to producer and maintain the target group of steady consumers”.

The balance between quality differentiation, volume and economic performance in Ekodar case study is partly reached. The Ekodar brand is still under the development process, where “Economic performance” can easily threaten balance between values. The quality of food products is their first priority. Ekodar is increasing continuously since selling quantities do not satisfy all of the demands for organic beef in Slovenia. According to the answers in questionnaires, the actors in this VBFC do not have any purpose to accept any quality-differentiating strategy to controlling the balance. The CC analyses shows that this can be huge mistake.
4. Conclusions

- The balance between quality, volume and economic performance differentiation in Planika and Ekodar case study is in general and for now reached, although some weaknesses which can unbalance the values and endanger the sustainable growth of VBFCs exist. CC analysis and its results can make a good contribution to actor's decision-making and for further successful and sustainable VBFC growth. Because of its relatively simple usage, the CC analyses is suitable for a wide range of users along the food chain, but in particular, it could be applied to actors and decision-makers in VBFC. Risku-Norja [9] explains that depending on the situation, different strategies can be used to secure the balance between quality differentiation, volume and economic performance.

- Table 1 present different strategies, which may be of interest for analyzed case studies and among them, which strategy/activity should be approved.

Table 1. The presence of strategy for securing the balance between quality differentiation, volume and economic performance in Planika and Ekodar case studies (strategies accorded to Risku-Norja [9])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Planika</th>
<th>Ekodar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing the production volume</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing the range of products</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding new outlets for products</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combining food production promotion with other activities/soci al activities</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding very specific products and/or specific customers</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusting the focus in quality differentiation</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More focus on growth of networks rather than on growth of single units (multiplicative growth) - cooperatives</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-operation with public actors</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regarding internal organization of the value chain, social conventions with codified rules have been introduced to secure the interests of the producers</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
- the strategy exist  
x - the strategy does not exist

- In general, in both case studies most of strategies are taken into account. The Planika VBFC should improve the capacity and diversity of production line and Ekodar should pay more attention in promotion activities connected with social events and other informal promotion shapes. Nevertheless, both VBFC are evaluated with positive estimates (Ekodar - partly balanced and Planika - balanced), but there exists the fear of unsustainable growth if actors will not develop the missing strategies presented in Table 1 with x.
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